



National Passenger Survey

TOC Report for London Midland

Spring 2012

Contacts:

David Greeno
Passenger Focus
1 Drummond Gate
London, SW1V 2QY

Tel: 0300 123 0837
Email: david.greeno@passengerfocus.org.uk

David Chilvers
BDRC Continental
Kingsbourne House
229-231 High Holborn
London, WC1V 7DA

Tel: 020 7490 9111
Email: dave.chilvers@bdrc-continental.com

Passenger**focus** 
putting passengers first

Contents

1 Introduction

- 1.1 Methodology 2
- 1.2 Issues affecting fieldwork 3

2 Key results

- 2.1 Overall satisfaction and station factor results for London Midland 5
- 2.2 Train factor results for London Midland 6
- 2.3 Overall satisfaction and station factor results for London and South East 8
- 2.4 Train factor results for London and South East 9
- 2.5 London Midland performance versus London and South East 11
- 2.6 Building block/route data for London Midland 13

3 Passenger satisfaction trend charts

- 3.1 Trend charts of all passenger satisfaction results for London Midland 15

4 Managed versus non-managed stations

- 4.1 Station factor results for London Midland 26
- 4.2 Network Rail categorisation for London Midland 27

5 Peak/off-peak satisfaction

- 5.1 Peak/off peak satisfaction for London Midland 28
- 5.2 Peak/off peak satisfaction for London and South East 30

6 Sample profile

- 6.1 Weighted sample profile for London Midland 32
- 6.2 Weighted sample profile for London and South East 33
- 6.3 Station sample sizes for London Midland 34
- 6.4 Weighted sample composition for all TOCs 35
- 6.5 Unweighted sample composition for all TOCs 36

7 Technical appendix

- 7.1 Standard reports produced for NPS 37
- 7.2 Rail sectors 38

Questionnaires are normally handed out at stations to customers about to board a train.

A reply paid envelope is provided for returning questionnaires.

Each Train Operating Company (TOC) is sampled separately. Interviewers are given a number of questionnaires to hand out at a station.

At Gatwick and Heathrow Airports and for some shifts at certain London termini, questionnaires are handed out to passengers of a specific TOC. From Autumn 2003 onwards, at all other stations, questionnaires are handed out to passengers of any TOC (in the past, these were also targeted).

The number of questionnaires handed out will depend on:

- the size of station
- time of day
- length of shift

TOC data is compiled to provide a national sample.

Fieldwork is carried out each Spring (February/March) and Autumn (September/October). Up to Spring 2003, fieldwork took place over 3 weeks.

In Autumn 2003, the fieldwork was extended to an 11 week period, from 26 August to 9 November, to provide a better representation of journeys.

Quotas for returned questionnaires are set overall and by weekday/weekend, journey purpose and station size.

All data for a TOC in this Report is weighted up to the number of passenger journeys annually on the TOC and the profile of those journeys by:

- weekday/weekend
- journey purpose (Commuter, Business, Leisure)
- station size (this profile is applied for each TOC building block)

The data for number of journeys and profiles by these variables was generated from ORR data (2010).

The stations for each TOC were stratified by number of passengers and a number of stations in each size stratum is sampled.

This sample design and weighting ensures that data is representative of all passenger journeys made on each TOC.

National results are constructed by combining data for all TOCs together, weighting by number of journeys.

From Autumn 2007 standard region definitions have been used replacing older rail regions. Analysis for the old regions is available on request.

For more details of NPS methodology, visit www.passengerfocus.org.uk

Spring 2012 (Wave 26)

The fieldwork for Wave 26 (Main and Boost) was undertaken between the 28th January and 30th March 2012. Top up shifts were run within the last 3 weeks of fieldwork.

Due to a change of franchise holder, the 'National Express East Anglia' train company became 'Greater Anglia' on 5th February 2012. Fieldwork and distribution of questionnaires for this train company started a week later than others on 5th February as we waited for this change to take place.

As with previous waves, planned engineering works meant that some shifts were rescheduled. As usual, shifts were only rescheduled if the engineering work caused a station or line closure. Whenever possible the shifts went ahead as planned if there were still train services running.

Heathrow Express results may have been affected by industrial action on 26th/27th February 2012.

Autumn 2011 (Wave 25)

Wave 25 fieldwork (Main and Boost) was undertaken between 1st September 2011 and 18th November 2011. Top up shifts were run within the last 3 weeks of the fieldwork period.

Delayed fieldwork on a separate rail industry project led to a smaller number of NPS shifts than normal at London Euston during the first couple of weeks of fieldwork, with others planned being moved to later in the fieldwork period.

As with previous waves, planned engineering works meant that some shifts were rescheduled. As usual, shifts were only rescheduled if the engineering work caused a station or line closure. Whenever possible the shifts went ahead as planned if there were still train services running.

Spring 2011 (Wave 24)

Wave 24 fieldwork (Main and Boost) was undertaken between 31st January 2011 and 15th April 2011. Top up interviews were run within the last 3 weeks of the fieldwork period.

Closure of the Wrexham and Shropshire Franchise prior to the start of fieldwork meant that no shifts were conducted on train or at station for this TOC.

Due to strike action with Arriva Trains Wales a small number of weekend shifts had to be rescheduled for later on in the fieldwork period. Other than this strike action, and clashes with Virgin Trains' own fieldwork and a small amount of engineering work mainly affecting London Overground shifts there was little disruption to the field schedule. Whenever possible the shifts went ahead as planned if there were still train services running.

The results achieved by London Midland are likely to have been affected by an industrial dispute which resulted in the cancellation of a significant number of trains through the full survey period.

One fieldworker was commended on his behaviour by First TransPennine Express after saving the life of a young female passenger by preventing her from falling onto the track.

Autumn 2010 (Wave 23)

Fieldwork (Main and Boost) was undertaken between 2nd September 2010 and 15th November 2010. Top up shifts were run between 14th October and the 26th November 2010.

Planned engineering works meant that some shifts were rescheduled. As usual, shifts were only rescheduled if the engineering work caused a station or line closure. Whenever possible the shifts went ahead as planned if there were still train services running.

Engineering works particularly affected shifts scheduled to be conducted on weekends both on train and at stations run by London Overground and Wrexham and Shropshire respectively. All shifts were rescheduled and conducted on the weekends where possible.


Due to shortfall on returns on certain TOCs the fieldwork period was extended from the 15th of November to the 26th November.

Other than the Papal visit, and the Conservative Party conference there were no other events that caused major disruptions to the fieldwork schedule.

At 95% confidence level:
+ denotes significant increase
- denotes significant decrease


% satisfied/good

Satisfaction results for London Midland




At 95% confidence level:
+ denotes significant increase
- denotes significant decrease

Satisfaction results for London Midland



At 95% confidence level:
+ denotes significant increase
- denotes significant decrease


Satisfaction results for London Midland



At 95% confidence level:
+ denotes significant increase
- denotes significant decrease


% satisfied/good

Satisfaction results for London and South East




At 95% confidence level:
+ denotes significant increase
- denotes significant decrease

Satisfaction results for London and South East



At 95% confidence level:
+ denotes significant increase
- denotes significant decrease

Satisfaction results for London and South East



London Midland performance versus London and South East

	TOC	Sector	TOC Index
Overall satisfaction	87	82	106%
Overall satisfaction with the station	77	75	103%
Ticket buying facilities	78	71	110%
Provision of information about train times/platforms	83	80	104%
The upkeep/repair of the station buildings/platforms	67	66	102%
Cleanliness	72	70	103%
The facilities and services	48	48	100%
The attitudes and helpfulness of the staff	73	69	105%
Connections with other forms of public transport	69	74	94%
Facilities for car parking	56	46	121%
Overall environment	67	66	103%
Your personal security whilst using	68	66	102%
The availability of staff	59	57	102%
How request to station staff was handled	86	82	104%

London Midland performance versus London and South East

	TOC	Sector	TOC Index
The frequency of the trains on that route	83	77	108%
Punctuality/reliability (i.e. the train arriving/departing on time)	81	79	102%
The length of time the journey was scheduled to take (speed)	89	83	107%
Connections with other train services	78	77	102%
The value for money for the price of your ticket	53	38	137%
Cleanliness of the train	81	74	110%
Upkeep and repair of the train	84	74	112%
The provision of information during the journey	74	70	106%
The helpfulness and attitude of staff on train	63	57	109%
The space for luggage	58	53	108%
The toilet facilities	50	35	146%
Sufficient room for all passengers to sit/stand	74	68	110%
The comfort of the seating area	80	71	113%
The ease of being able to get on and off	86	79	109%
Your personal security on board	80	75	107%
The cleanliness of the inside	82	74	111%
The cleanliness of the outside	83	72	116%
The availability of staff	45	39	116%
How well train company deals with delays	49	35	139%

Building block/route data for London Midland

	London Commuter	West Coast	West Midlands
Overall satisfaction	84	92	87
Overall satisfaction with the station	82	81	75
Ticket buying facilities	80	81	77
Provision of information about train times/platforms	83	86	82
The upkeep/repair of the station buildings/platforms	68	75	64
Cleanliness	75	78	69
The facilities and services	63	60	40
The attitudes and helpfulness of the staff	77	78	70
Connections with other forms of public transport	79	68	65
Facilities for car parking	69	67	49
Overall environment	73	71	64
Your personal security whilst using	69	75	65
The availability of staff	60	63	57
How request to station staff was handled	88	89	83


Building block/route data for London Midland

	London Commuter	West Coast	West Midlands
The frequency of the trains on that route	85	83	83
Punctuality/reliability (i.e. the train arriving/departing on time)	85	88	78
The length of time the journey was scheduled to take (speed)	89	90	89
Connections with other train services	75	81	78
The value for money for the price of your ticket	42	59	55
Cleanliness of the train	76	82	83
Upkeep and repair of the train	84	85	83
The provision of information during the journey	74	72	74
The helpfulness and attitude of staff on train	62	67	62
The space for luggage	57	51	59
The toilet facilities	51	39	53
Sufficient room for all passengers to sit/stand	67	80	76
The comfort of the seating area	73	81	82
The ease of being able to get on and off	84	85	87
Your personal security on board	80	84	80
The cleanliness of the inside	75	83	85
The cleanliness of the outside	79	82	85
The availability of staff	38	53	45
How well train company deals with delays	50	49	49

Overall satisfaction

(1179)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



Overall station satisfaction

(1157)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



Ticket buying facilities

(634)

Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012




N.B. Benchmarks and targets are only shown for applicable factors

Provision of information about train times/platforms

(1117)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



The upkeep/repair of the station building/platforms

(1107)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



Cleanliness of the station

(1108)

Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012




N.B. Benchmarks and targets are only shown for applicable factors

The facilities and services at the station

(929)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



The attitudes and helpfulness of the staff at the station

(857)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



Connections with other forms of public transport from the station

(786)

Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012




N.B. Benchmarks and targets are only shown for applicable factors

Facilities for car parking at the station

(536)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



Overall station environment

(1132)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



Your personal security whilst using the station

(1002)

Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012




N.B. Benchmarks and targets are only shown for applicable factors

The availability of staff at the station

(963)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



How request to station staff was handled

(143)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



The frequency of trains on that route

(1151)

Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012




N.B. Benchmarks and targets are only shown for applicable factors

Punctuality/reliability (i.e. train arriving/departing on time)

(1142)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



The length of time the journey was scheduled to take (speed)

(1129)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



Connections with other train services

(629)

Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012




N.B. Benchmarks and targets are only shown for applicable factors

The value for money for the price of your ticket

(1052)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



Cleanliness of the train

(1168)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



Upkeep and repair of the train

(1155)

Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012




N.B. Benchmarks and targets are only shown for applicable factors

The provision of information during the journey

(1055)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



The helpfulness and attitude of staff on train

(629)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



The space for luggage

(861)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012




N.B. Benchmarks and targets are only shown for applicable factors

Toilet facilities on train**(436)**


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012

**Sufficient room for all the passengers to sit/stand****(1128)**

Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012

**The comfort of the seating area****(1137)**

Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012




N.B. Benchmarks and targets are only shown for applicable factors

The ease of being able to get on and off the train

(1150)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



Your personal security whilst on board

(1080)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



The cleanliness of the inside of the train

(1152)

Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012




N.B. Benchmarks and targets are only shown for applicable factors

The cleanliness of the outside of the train

(1005)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



The availability of staff on the train

(815)


Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



How well train company dealt with delay

(202)

Percentage of passengers satisfied 2007 to 2012



N.B. Benchmarks and targets are only shown for applicable factors


Managed versus non-managed stations for London Midland

	Stations managed by TOC	significant difference	Stations not managed by TOC
Overall satisfaction with the station	79		76
Ticket buying facilities	78		79
Provision of information about train times/platforms	84		82
The upkeep/repair of the station buildings/platforms	71	+	61
Cleanliness	76	+	67
The facilities and services	36	-	61
The attitudes and helpfulness of the staff	78	+	67
Connections with other forms of public transport	64	-	75
Facilities for car parking	61	+	44
Overall environment	70		65
Your personal security whilst using	66		69
The availability of staff	57		60
How request to station staff was handled	89		83


Managed versus non-managed stations for London Midland

(% Passengers Journeys originating from each type of station)


Overall Total



Stations managed by TOC



Stations not managed by TOC



- A = National hub
- B = Regional hub
- C = Important feeder
- D = Medium staffed
- E = Small staffed
- F = Small unstaffed
- Not categorised

Peak/off-peak satisfaction scores for London Midland

	Peak			Off-Peak		
	Spring 2012	significant change	Spring 2011	Spring 2012	significant change	Spring 2011
Overall satisfaction	73		64	87	+	84
Overall satisfaction with the station	73		84	78		76
Ticket buying facilities	74		78	78		78
Provision of information about train times/platforms	86		74	83		82
The upkeep/repair of the station buildings/platforms	64		71	67		62
Cleanliness	74		77	72		68
The facilities and services	65		65	47		47
The attitudes and helpfulness of the staff	76		64	73		70
Connections with other forms of public transport	66		78	69		70
Facilities for car parking	56		57	56		54
Overall environment	67		74	67		64
Your personal security whilst using	70		69	67		66
The availability of staff	60		53	59		59
How request to station staff was handled	100		90	86		90

* Peak is defined as weekday journeys that arrive at London terminals between 07:00 and 09:59, and those departing between 16:00 and 18:59

Peak/off-peak satisfaction scores for London Midland

	Peak		Off-Peak		
	Spring 2012	significant change	Spring 2011	Spring 2012	significant change
The frequency of the trains on that route	80		73	83	+
Punctuality/reliability (i.e. the train arriving/departing on time)	70		58	82	+
The length of time the journey was scheduled to take (speed)	77		75	90	
Connections with other train services	67		55	78	
The value for money for the price of your ticket	25		18	54	
Cleanliness of the train	72		82	82	+
Upkeep and repair of the train	79		84	84	+
The provision of information during the journey	57		59	75	+
The helpfulness and attitude of staff on train	52		43	63	
The space for luggage	42		53	58	+
The toilet facilities	24		45	52	
Sufficient room for all passengers to sit/stand	30		40	77	+
The comfort of the seating area	53		60	81	+
The ease of being able to get on and off	78		77	86	+
Your personal security on board	69		83	81	+
The cleanliness of the inside	78		83	82	+
The cleanliness of the outside	75		74	83	+
The availability of staff	33		23	45	
How well train company deals with delays	46		17	49	+

* Peak is defined as weekday journeys that arrive at London terminals between 07:00 and 09:59, and those departing between 16:00 and 18:59

Peak/off-peak satisfaction scores for London and South East

	Peak			Off-Peak		
	Spring 2012	significant change	Spring 2011	Spring 2012	significant change	Spring 2011
Overall satisfaction	72	-	75	84		85
Overall satisfaction with the station	72		73	76		75
Ticket buying facilities	66		66	73		72
Provision of information about train times/platforms	76		75	81	+	79
The upkeep/repair of the station buildings/platforms	62		60	66	+	64
Cleanliness	66		67	71		70
The facilities and services	46		48	49		48
The attitudes and helpfulness of the staff	62		62	71		70
Connections with other forms of public transport	70	-	74	75		74
Facilities for car parking	42	-	46	48		47
Overall environment	62		61	66	+	64
Your personal security whilst using	60		60	68	+	66
The availability of staff	52		51	59		57
How request to station staff was handled	74		73	83		84

* Peak is defined as weekday journeys that arrive at London terminals between 07:00 and 09:59, and those departing between 16:00 and 18:59

Peak/off-peak satisfaction scores for London and South East

	Peak			Off-Peak		
	Spring 2012	significant change	Spring 2011	Spring 2012	significant change	Spring 2011
The frequency of the trains on that route	72		73	78		77
Punctuality/reliability (i.e. the train arriving/departing on time)	70	-	73	82		81
The length of time the journey was scheduled to take (speed)	73	-	77	86		85
Connections with other train services	71		71	78		77
The value for money for the price of your ticket	20	-	23	43		44
Cleanliness of the train	66		68	76	+	74
Upkeep and repair of the train	64		65	77	+	75
The provision of information during the journey	60		61	72	+	71
The helpfulness and attitude of staff on train	45		45	60		60
The space for luggage	40		40	56		55
The toilet facilities	24		24	37		37
Sufficient room for all passengers to sit/stand	39		40	75		73
The comfort of the seating area	54		54	75		74
The ease of being able to get on and off	68		69	82		82
Your personal security on board	67		67	77	+	75
The cleanliness of the inside	66		68	76	+	74
The cleanliness of the outside	62		63	74	+	70
The availability of staff	25		27	42	+	40
How well train company deals with delays	23		24	39		37

* Peak is defined as weekday journeys that arrive at London terminals between 07:00 and 09:59, and those departing between 16:00 and 18:59

Weighted sample profile for London Midland

	Spring 2012 %	Spring 2011 %		Spring 2012 %	Spring 2011 %
SEX			DELAYS		
Male	43	45	None	80	75
Female	55	52	Minor	17	18
Not stated	2	2	Major	2	5
			Not stated	1	1
AGE			REGULAR TRAVELLER		
16-25	16	16	Yes	65	66
26-34	14	14	No	35	34
35-44	16	18			
45-54	22	20			
55-59	9	9	TIME OF TRAVEL		
60-64	9	9	Peak	5	4
65+	12	13	Off-peak	95	96
Not stated	2	1			
JOURNEY PURPOSE			ASKED FOR HELP OR INFORMATION		
Commuter	45	45	Yes asked for help	6	9
Business	14	14	Yes asked for information	7	10
Leisure	41	41	Could not find anyone to ask	2	3
			No	83	78
			Not stated	2	1

Weighted sample profile for London and South East

	Spring 2012 %	Spring 2011 %		Spring 2012 %	Spring 2011 %
SEX			DELAYS		
Male	45	44	None	80	81
Female	52	54	Minor	16	16
Not stated	3	2	Major	3	2
			Not stated	2	1
AGE			REGULAR TRAVELLER		
16-25	11	11	Yes	70	70
26-34	17	19	No	30	30
35-44	20	20			
45-54	22	23			
55-59	9	9	TIME OF TRAVEL		
60-64	9	9	Peak	19	21
65+	10	9	Off-peak	81	79
Not stated	2	1			
JOURNEY PURPOSE			ASKED FOR HELP OR INFORMATION		
Commuter	53	52	Yes asked for help	8	8
Business	15	15	Yes asked for information	7	8
Leisure	33	33	Could not find anyone to ask	3	3
			No	81	81
			Not stated	2	1

Station sample sizes for London Midland

Station	Unweighted	Station	Unweighted
Birmingham New Street	134	Watford Junction	12
London Euston	106	The Hawthorns	12
Birmingham Snow Hill	89	Landywood	11
Birmingham Moor Street	60	Tame Bridge Parkway	10
Coventry	54	Longbridge	10
Berkhamsted	41	Cannock	9
Milton Keynes Central	40	Ledbury	9
Northampton	35	Jewellery Quarter	9
Wolverhampton	27	Birmingham International	9
Tile Hill	26	Harrow And Wealdstone	8
Hemel Hempstead	26	Aston	8
Lichfield Trent Valley	25	Five Ways	8
Kings Langley	23	Stone	8
Rugby	22	Tamworth	7
Bournville	22	St Albans Abbey	7
Hartford	22	Alsager	7
Leighton Buzzard	20	Worcester Foregate Street	7
Liverpool Lime Street	20	Hereford	6
Selly Oak	19	Apsley	6
Stourbridge Junction	19	Warwick	6
Smethwick Galton Bridge	18	Bedford	4
Bletchley	18	Lye	4
Droitwich Spa	16	Liverpool South Parkway	3
Sandwell And Dudley	16	Garston (Hertfordshire)	3
University (Birmingham)	16	Stechford	3
Stafford	16	Worcester Shrub Hill	3
Dorridge	15	Dudley Port	2
Solihull	15	Stoke-On-Trent	1
Stratford-Upon-Avon	15	Nuneaton	1
Runcorn	12		
Crewe	12		

Weighted sample composition for all train companies

	Annual journeys ('000s)	Journey Purpose			Day Of Week		Station Size			
		Commute	Business	Leisure	Weekday	Weekend	Very Large	Large	Medium	Small
Sample size*	28832	12220	4086	12526	24869	3963	8690	5702	7055	7385
Arriva Trains Wales	26420	28	8	64	81	19	27	21	26	26
c2c	32175	66	4	30	93	7	30	17	25	28
Chiltern Railways	17768	35	21	44	80	20	41	8	25	26
CrossCountry	29700	15	28	57	78	22	21	26	26	28
East Coast	17733	13	27	60	79	21	39	13	19	29
East Midlands Trains	22317	23	28	49	82	18	21	23	29	26
First Capital Connect	97672	45	26	29	86	14	20	26	27	27
First Great Western	83870	30	20	50	77	23	21	27	26	26
First TransPennine Express	22372	24	14	62	78	22	20	28	26	27
Greater Anglia ⁺	106689	60	17	23	89	11	29	16	26	28
London Midland	52930	45	14	41	85	15	31	18	25	27
London Overground	92515	64	3	33	83	17	17	31	25	28
Merseyrail	40082	37	8	55	80	20	21	27	25	27
Northern Rail	94518	38	9	53	76	24	23	26	26	25
ScotRail	73238	39	13	47	80	20	28	18	28	26
South West Trains	190065	53	15	32	85	15	37	18	16	29
Southeastern	163361	61	12	27	90	10	16	32	26	26
Southern	162014	50	16	34	90	10	17	33	24	26
Virgin Trains	23172	9	31	60	85	16	32	5	35	28

*Sample size excludes non-franchised Train Operating Companies. ⁺Greater Anglia from 5th February 2012 (previously National Express East Anglia)

Unweighted sample composition for all train companies

	Sample size	Journey Purpose			Day Of Week		Station Size			
		Commute	Business	Leisure	Weekday	Weekend	Very Large	Large	Medium	Small
Sample size*	28832	12220	4086	12526	24869	3963	8690	5702	7055	7385
Arriva Trains Wales	1189	38	12	51	91	9	31	27	21	21
c2c	1114	71	6	23	93	7	35	23	22	20
Chiltern Railways	1192	41	21	38	92	8	53	6	18	24
CrossCountry	1191	32	18	50	80	20	14	30	29	27
East Coast	1225	19	25	56	83	17	47	6	17	30
East Midlands Trains	1219	34	20	46	85	15	31	27	20	22
First Capital Connect	2000	55	13	32	92	8	26	18	33	23
First Great Western	3044	34	16	50	80	20	32	23	22	24
First TransPennine Express	1175	38	16	45	87	13	16	33	26	25
Greater Anglia ⁺	2454	45	13	43	86	14	34	11	29	27
London Midland	1192	47	11	42	88	12	25	23	20	32
London Overground	1202	60	5	34	88	12	30	21	21	27
Merseyrail	635	39	4	58	94	6	24	35	22	20
Northern Rail	1264	48	9	43	87	13	31	23	25	21
ScotRail	1230	40	13	47	80	20	25	16	35	24
South West Trains	2334	42	10	48	82	18	31	14	19	35
Southeastern	1722	51	10	40	87	13	17	29	29	25
Southern	2338	43	14	43	88	12	31	21	22	27
Virgin Trains	1112	23	37	41	88	12	37	4	34	25

*Sample size excludes non-franchised Train Operating Companies. ⁺Greater Anglia from 5th February 2012 (previously National Express East Anglia)

The following reports are produced each wave:

Summary report	Summary tables for all TOCs (including comparison with one year previously), trend tables for last 10 waves by TOC, trend charts for the main NPS factors, peak vs off-peak analysis for LSE TOCs.
TOC reports	Tables and graphs showing results for TOC (including comparisons with one year previously and with relevant sector), trend charts for all factors (including sector and benchmark (if relevant) comparisons), summary profile of passengers surveyed, station sample sizes for TOC and sample composition & weighting.
Stations report	Percentage of passengers satisfied by each main factor for last 10 waves for all stations covered by NPS during that time period.
Consultees report	Summary national trend charts for all main factors, trend charts by age/journey purpose & gender, summary results for leisure/business passengers & commuters, one page for each factor showing national trend and results for all TOCs, Government Office Region charts for each factor and simple tables for some questions that are not included in the main NPS report.
Best in class report	Trend tables showing results for all main factors for all TOCs and building blocks for the last 10 waves.
Multivariate report	Multivariate analysis showing drivers of satisfaction and dissatisfaction nationally, by sector and by TOC for latest two NPS waves combined.
Personal security at stations report	Percentage of passengers satisfied and dissatisfied with personal security at all stations that were included in the NPS for the last 10 survey waves.
Rankings report	Results since wave 10 showing satisfaction score for each TOC by factor, significant changes since one year earlier, national rank and rank in TOC type.
Virtual TOC reports	NPS reports for TOCs that used to exist or that are planned to exist in the future (exactly the same format as TOC reports).
Building block report	Summary results showing satisfaction for all building blocks for all main NPS factors.
PTE reports	NPS reports for all PTEs (exactly the same format as TOC reports).
Demographic reports	Simple reports for all TOCs showing demographic profile (and answers to other questions).
Tables report	Quite detailed tables for all TOCs showing results for the majority of NPS questions by gender, age, journey purpose, time of week and whether they were a frequent traveller or not.

Sector definitions

The sector results used in this report contain the following TOCs (non-franchised operators are excluded):

London and South East Operators	Long Distance Operators	Regional Operators
c2c	CrossCountry	Arriva Trains Wales
Chiltern Railways	East Coast	Merseyrail
First Capital Connect	East Midlands Trains	Northern Rail
First Great Western	First TransPennine Express	ScotRail
Greater Anglia*	Virgin Trains	
London Midland		
London Overground		
Southeastern		
Southern		
South West Trains		

*Greater Anglia from 5th February 2012 (previously National Express East Anglia)



Contacts:

David Chilvers
BDRC Continental
Kingsbourne House
229-231 High Holborn
London, WC1V 7DA

Tel: 020 7490 9111
Email: dave.chilvers@bdrc-continental.com

David Greeno
Passenger Focus
1 Drummond Gate
London, SW1V 2QY

Tel: 0300 123 0837
Email: david.greeno@passengerfocus.org.uk

Passenger Focus is the operating name of the Passengers' Council. This survey was published in June 2012. © Passenger Focus 2012.